

GAUSSIAN CURVATURE ESTIMATES FOR THE CONVEX LEVEL SETS OF SOLUTIONS FOR SOME NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

PEI-HE WANG AND WEI ZHANG

ABSTRACT. We give a lower bound for the Gaussian curvature of convex level sets of minimal graphs and the solutions to semilinear elliptic equations with the norm of boundary gradient and the Gaussian curvature of the boundary.

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper is the continuation of Ma-Ou-Zhang [18]. In [18], they studied the Gaussian curvature estimates of the convex level sets of p -harmonic function in convex ring in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. Utilizing the similar technique as in [18], in this paper we study the minimal surface equation and some semilinear elliptic equations.

For minimal surface equation we find a sharp auxiliary function involving the Gaussian curvature of the convex level sets. It is a harmonic function in 2-dimensional case. In higher dimensions, it is a superharmonic function after modifying the gradient terms with locally bounded coefficients. Here we use the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the minimal graph (see [11]).

For the semilinear elliptic equation with suitable structure conditions, we find a similar auxiliary function such that it is a superharmonic function in domain after modifying the gradient terms with locally bounded coefficients. From these results, we can get the Gaussian curvature estimates of the convex level sets with the norm of boundary gradient and the Gaussian curvature of the boundary. For example we obtain the lower bound estimates for the Gaussian curvature of the level sets of the solutions for a class of semilinear elliptic equations, the strict convexity of its level sets was obtained by Caffarelli-Spruck [6] and Korevaar [13].

The geometry of the level sets of the solutions of elliptic partial differential equations has been studied for a long time. For instance, Ahlfors [1] contains the well-known result that level curves of Green function on simply connected convex domain in the plane

Research of the first author was supported by NSF of Shandong No.Q2008A08, research of the second author was supported by NSFC No.10671186 and No.10871187.

are the convex Jordan curves. In 1931, Gergen [10] proved the star-shapeness of the level sets of Green function on 3-dimensional star-shaped domain. In 1956, Shiffman [20] studied the minimal surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$. In 1957, Gabriel [9] proved that the level sets of the Green function on a 3-dimensional bounded convex domain are strictly convex. Lewis [14] extended Gabriel's result to p -harmonic functions in higher dimensions. Caffarelli-Spruck [6] generalized the Lewis [14] results to a class of semilinear elliptic partial differential equations. Motivated by the result of Caffarelli-Friedman [4], Korevaar [13] gave a new proof on the results of Gabriel [9] and Lewis [14] using the following observation: if the level sets of the p -harmonic function is convex with respect to the gradient direction ∇u , then the rank of the second fundamental form of the level sets is a constant in all domain. A survey of this subject is given by Kawohl [12]. For more recent related extensions, please see the papers by Bianchini-Longinetti-Salani [3] and Bian-Guan-Ma-Xu [2].

Now we turn to the question of quantitative results, that is, curvature estimates of the level sets of the solutions to such elliptic problems. For 2-dimensional harmonic functions and minimal surfaces with convex level curves, Longinetti [15] and [16] proved that the curvature of the level sets attains its minimum on the boundary (see also Talenti [21] for related results). Recently, Ma-Ou-Zhang [18] and Chang-Ma-Yang [7] got the Gaussian curvature and principal curvature estimates of the convex level sets on higher dimensional harmonic functions, and the estimates give a new approach to get the convexity of the level sets of harmonic functions. For the other related results see the papers by Rosay-Rudin [19] and Dolbeault-Monneau [8].

Now we state our main theorems.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2)$ and $u \in C^4(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ be the solution of the following minimal surface equation,*

$$(1.1) \quad \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Assume $|\nabla u| \neq 0$ in Ω . If the level sets of u are strictly convex with respect to normal ∇u , and let K be the Gaussian curvature of the level sets. Then we have the following results.

- (i) *For $n = 2$, the function $\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1 + |\nabla u|^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} K$ attains its minimum and maximum on the boundary $\partial\Omega$, unless it is a constant.*
- (ii) *For $n \geq 3$, the function $\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1 + |\nabla u|^2} \right)^\theta K$ attains its minimum on the boundary $\partial\Omega$ for $\theta = -\frac{1}{2}$ or $\theta \geq \frac{n-3}{2}$, unless it is a constant.*

Remark 1.2. In Theorem 1.1, we can choose $\psi(x) = \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1 + |\nabla u|^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} K(x)$ as our test function. Now we give an example to explain our choice on ψ .

For $r = |x| > 2$, let $u(r, \theta) = \int_2^r \frac{ds}{\sqrt{s^{2(n-1)} - 1}}$ be the n -dimensional catenoid. A simple calculation shows

$$|\nabla u|^2(x) = \frac{1}{|x|^{2(n-1)} - 1},$$

and the Gaussian curvature of the level set at x is

$$K(x) = |x|^{1-n}.$$

Hence,

$$\psi(x) = \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1 + |\nabla u|^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} K(x) \equiv 1.$$

From the above calculation, one know the choice $\psi(x) = \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1 + |\nabla u|^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} K(x)$ is sharp. Moreover in 2-dimensional case, under the assumption that $|\nabla u| \neq 0$ in Ω we shall prove in Corollary 4.1 that the function $\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1 + |\nabla u|^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} K$ is a harmonic function with respect to the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the minimal graph.

For the semilinear elliptic equations, under suitable structure conditions on the equation, we have

Theorem 1.3. Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2)$ and $u \in C^4(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ be a solution of the following equation in Ω , i.e.

$$(1.2) \quad \Delta u = f(x, u) \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where $f \in C^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ and f is nonnegative. Assume $|\nabla u| \neq 0$ in Ω , and the level sets of u are strictly convex with respect to normal ∇u . Let K be the Gaussian curvature of the level sets. Then we have the following facts.

(ia) Suppose $f = f(u)$ and $f_u \geq 0$, then the function $|\nabla u|^{-2} K$ attains its minimum on the boundary.

(ib) Suppose $f = f(u)$ and $f_u \leq 0$, then the function $|\nabla u|^{n-1} K$ attains its minimum on the boundary.

(ii) Suppose $f = f(x)$ and $t^3 f(x)$ is convex with respect to $(x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, +\infty)$ (or equivalently $f^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is concave for f positive), then the function $|\nabla u|^{n-1} K$ attains its minimum on the boundary.

If u is a solution for (1.2) with convex level sets with respect to normal ∇u , then we shall prove a useful fact that the norm of gradient $|\nabla u|$ attains its maximum and minimum on the boundary in Lemma 6.1. Combining this fact and Theorem 1.3 we have the following consequence.

Corollary 1.4. *Let Ω_0, Ω_1 be two bounded smooth convex domains in $\mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2)$ and $\bar{\Omega}_0 \subset \Omega_1$. Let u satisfy*

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta u = f(u) & \text{in } \Omega = \Omega_0 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_1, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_0, \\ u = 1 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_1, \end{cases}$$

where $f \in C^2([0, 1])$ is nonnegative, non-decreasing and $f(0) = 0$. Let K be the Gaussian curvature of the level sets, then we have the following estimate

$$\min_{\Omega} K \geq \left(\frac{\min_{\partial\Omega_0} |\nabla u|}{\max_{\partial\Omega_1} |\nabla u|} \right)^2 \min_{\partial\Omega} K.$$

In [6, 13], Caffarelli-Spruck and Korevaar proved the level sets of solution to (1.3) are strictly convex with respect to normal ∇u . In above corollary, we give the quantitative results using the boundary data.

Assuming $|\nabla u| \neq 0$, Bianchini-Longinetti-Salani [3] proved the convexity of the level sets of solution u for some semilinear elliptic equation in convex ring with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. Then from the constant rank theorem of the second fundamental form of the level sets in [13] (or [2]), we know the level sets are strictly convex. For the Poisson equation, our structure condition is the same as theirs. *In summary, for the level sets of the solutions of some class semilinear elliptic equations, [3] gives the convexity, [13] (or [2]) guarantees the strict convexity, at last from Theorem 1.3 we can obtain its lower bound estimates for the Gaussian curvature of the level sets via the boundary data.*

Now we turn to the minimal surface equation in convex ring with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. Korevaar (see Remark 13 in [13]) proved the strict convexity of the level sets. Using the Theorem 1.1, we can utilize the same method in proving Corollary 1.4 to obtain the similar lower bound estimates for the Gaussian curvature of the level set via the boundary data.

Corollary 1.5. *Let u satisfy*

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} \operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla u|^2}}\right) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega = \Omega_0 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_1, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_0, \\ u = 1 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_1, \end{cases}$$

where Ω_0 and Ω_1 are bounded convex domains in $\mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 3$, $\bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_0$. Let K be the Gaussian curvature of the level sets, then we have the following estimate

$$\min_{\Omega} K \geq \left(\frac{\min_{\partial\Omega_0} |\nabla u|}{\max_{\partial\Omega_1} |\nabla u|} \right) \frac{\sqrt{1 + \min_{\partial\Omega_0} |\nabla u|^2}}{\sqrt{1 + \max_{\partial\Omega_1} |\nabla u|^2}} \min_{\partial\Omega} K.$$

Let K be the Gaussian curvature of the convex level sets. Set

$$\varphi = \log K(x) + \rho(|\nabla u|^2),$$

where the function ρ will be specified later. We shall show the following elliptic differential inequality

$$L(\varphi) \leq 0 \quad \text{mod } \nabla \varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where L is the Linearized operator associated with the equation we discussed and here we have suppressed the terms containing the gradient of φ with locally bounded coefficients. By applying the strong minimum principle, we then obtain the main results.

In Section 2, we first give brief definitions on the convexity of the level sets, then obtain the curvature matrix (a_{ij}) of the level sets of a function, which appeared in [2]. In Section 3, we give some preliminaries and formal computations for the proof of our theorems. We prove the Theorem 1.1 in Section 4. In sections 5 we prove the Theorem 1.3. Then in the last section we give the proof of Corollary 1.4, and we omit the proof of Corollary 1.5. The main technique in the proof of these theorems consists of rearranging the second and third derivative terms using the equation and the first derivative condition for φ . The key idea is the Pogorelov's method in a priori estimates for fully nonlinear elliptic equations.

Acknowledgment: The authors would like to thank Prof. X.N. Ma for useful discussions on this subject. The first named author would like to thank the hospitality of the Department of Mathematics of University of Science and Technology of China.

2. THE CURVATURE MATRIX OF LEVEL SETS

In this section, we shall give the brief definition on the convexity of the level sets, then introduce the curvature matrix (a_{ij}) of the level sets of a function, which appeared in [2]. Firstly, we recall some fundamental notations in classical surface theory. Assume a surface $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is given by the graph of a function v in a domain in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$:

$$x_n = v(x'), x' = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}.$$

Definition 2.1. We define the graph of function $x_n = v(x')$ is convex with respect to the upward normal $\vec{v} = \frac{1}{W}(-v_1, -v_2, \dots, -v_{n-1}, 1)$ if the second fundamental form $b_{ij} = \frac{v_{ij}}{W}$ of the graph $x_n = v(x')$ is nonnegative definite, where $W = \sqrt{1 + |\nabla v|^2}$.

The principal curvature $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{n-1})$ of the graph of v , being the eigenvalues of the second fundamental form relative to the first fundamental form. We have the following well-known formula.

Lemma 2.2. ([5]) *The principal curvature of the graph $x_n = v(x')$ with respect to the upward normal $\vec{v}$ are the eigenvalues of the symmetric curvature matrix*

$$(2.1) \quad a_{il} = \frac{1}{W} \left\{ v_{il} - \frac{v_i v_j v_{jl}}{W(1+W)} - \frac{v_l v_k v_{ki}}{W(1+W)} + \frac{v_i v_l v_j v_k v_{jk}}{W^2(1+W)^2} \right\},$$

where the summation convention over repeated indices is employed.

Now we give the definition of the convex level sets of the function u . Let Ω be a domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $u \in C^2(\Omega)$, its level sets can be usually defined in the following sense.

Definition 2.3. *Assume $|\nabla u| \neq 0$ in Ω , we define the level set of u passing through the point $x_o \in \Omega$ as $\Sigma^{u(x_o)} = \{x \in \Omega | u(x) = u(x_o)\}$.*

Now we shall locally work near the point x_o where $|\nabla u(x_o)| \neq 0$. By implicit function theorem, locally the level set $\Sigma^{u(x_o)}$ could be represented as a graph

$$x_n = v(x'), x' = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1},$$

and $v(x')$ satisfies the following equation

$$u(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, v(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})) = u(x_o).$$

Then the first fundamental form of the level set is $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \frac{u_i u_j}{u_n^2}$, and $W = (1 + |\nabla v|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{|\nabla u|}{|u_n|}$. The upward normal direction of the level set is

$$(2.2) \quad \vec{v} = \frac{|u_n|}{|\nabla u| u_n} (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{n-1}, u_n).$$

Let

$$(2.3) \quad h_{ij} = u_n^2 u_{ij} + u_{nn} u_i u_j - u_n u_j u_{in} - u_n u_i u_{jn},$$

then the second fundamental form of the level set of function u is $b_{ij} = \frac{v_{ij}}{W} = -\frac{|u_n| h_{ij}}{|\nabla u| u_n^3}$.

Definition 2.4. *For the function $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ we assume $|\nabla u| \neq 0$ in Ω . Without loss of generality we can let $u_n(x_o) \neq 0$ for $x_o \in \Omega$. We define locally the level set $\Sigma^{u(x_o)} = \{x \in \Omega | u(x) = u(x_o)\}$ is convex respect to the upward normal direction $\vec{v}$ if the second fundamental form b_{ij} is nonnegative definite.*

Remark 2.5. *If we let ∇u be the upward normal of the level set $\Sigma^{u(x_o)}$ at x_o , then $u_n(x_o) > 0$ by (2.2). And from the definition 2.4, if the level set $\Sigma^{u(x_o)}$ is convex with respect to the normal direction ∇u , then the matrix $(h_{ij}(x_o))$ is nonpositive definite.*

Now we obtain the representation of the curvature matrix (a_{ij}) of the level sets of the function u with the derivative of the function u ,

$$(2.4) \quad a_{ij} = \frac{1}{|\nabla u|u_n^2} \left\{ -h_{ij} + \frac{u_i u_l h_{jl}}{W(1+W)u_n^2} + \frac{u_j u_l h_{il}}{W(1+W)u_n^2} - \frac{u_i u_j u_k u_l h_{kl}}{W^2(1+W)^2 u_n^4} \right\}.$$

From now on we denote

$$(2.5) \quad B_{ij} = \frac{u_i u_l h_{jl}}{W(1+W)u_n^2} + \frac{u_j u_l h_{il}}{W(1+W)u_n^2}, \quad C_{ij} = \frac{u_i u_j u_k u_l h_{kl}}{W^2(1+W)^2 u_n^4},$$

and

$$(2.6) \quad A_{ij} = -h_{ij} + B_{ij} - C_{ij},$$

then the symmetric curvature matrix of the level sets of u could be represented as

$$(2.7) \quad a_{ij} = \frac{1}{|\nabla u|u_n^2} [-h_{ij} + B_{ij} - C_{ij}] = \frac{1}{|\nabla u|u_n^2} A_{ij}.$$

With the above notations, we end this section with the following Codazzi's type formula which will be used in the next sections.

Proposition 2.6. (see [2]) Denote $a_{ij,k} = \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_k}$ for $1 \leq i, j, k \leq n-1$, then at the point where $u_n = |\nabla u| > 0$, $u_i = 0$, $a_{ij,k}$ is commutative in “ i, j, k ”, i.e.

$$a_{ij,k} = a_{ik,j}.$$

Proof. Direct calculation shows

$$(2.8) \quad a_{ij,k} = -u_n^{-1} u_{ijk} + u_n^{-2} (u_{ij} u_{kn} + u_{ik} u_{jn} + u_{jk} u_{in}).$$

The right hand side of (2.8) is obviously commutative in “ i, j, k ”. $\square$

3. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we shall make some preliminary calculation for a general class of elliptic equations. In the following sections we shall work on some special equation, for example minimal graph equation, Poisson equation and semi-linear elliptic equations.

Let Ω be bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^n (n \geq 2)$. Assume $(F^{\alpha\beta})$ to be a smooth positive definite function matrix defined in Ω , and $u \in C^4(\Omega)$ be a solution which satisfies the following equation

$$\sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha\beta} = f(x, u),$$

where $f \in C^2(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ is nonnegative, and $F^{\alpha\beta}$ is diagonal at the point x_o where $u_i(x_o) = 0 (1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ and $u_n(x_o) = |\nabla u| > 0$.

We assume the level sets of u are strictly convex with respect to the normal ∇u , then the curvature matrix (a_{ij}) of the level sets is positive definite in Ω .

Set

$$\varphi = \rho(|\nabla u|^2) + \log K(x),$$

where $K = \det(a_{ij})$ is the Gaussian curvature of the level sets and ρ is a smooth function defined on the interval $(0, +\infty)$ to be given later. In the following sections, for suitable choice of ρ we will derive the following elliptic inequality

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{\alpha\beta} \leq 0 \quad \text{mod } \nabla \varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where we modify the terms of $\nabla \varphi$ with locally bounded coefficients.

In order to prove (3.1) at an arbitrary point $x_o \in \Omega$, as in Caffarelli-Friedman [4], we choose the normal coordinates at x_o . By rotating the coordinate system suitably through T_{x_o} , we may assume that $u_i(x_o) = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) and $u_n(x_o) = |\nabla u| > 0$. We can further assume that the matrix $(u_{ij}(x_o))$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$) is diagonal and $u_{ii}(x_o) < 0$. We also choose T_{x_o} to vary smoothly with x_o . If we can establish (3.1) at x_o under the above assumptions, then go back to the original coordinate we find that (3.1) remains valid with new locally bounded coefficients on $\nabla \varphi$ in (3.1), depending smoothly on the independent variables. Thus it suffices to establish (3.1) under the above assumptions.

From now on, all the calculation will be done at the fixed point x_o .

By taking derivative of φ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad \varphi_\alpha = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ij} a_{ij, \alpha} + \rho' |\nabla u|_\alpha^2.$$

It follows that

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii, \alpha} = \varphi_\alpha - 2\rho' u_n u_{n\alpha}.$$

Differentiating equation (3.2) once more, we have

$$\varphi_{\alpha\beta} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii, \alpha\beta} - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij, \alpha} a_{ij, \beta} + \rho'' |\nabla u|_\alpha^2 |\nabla u|_\beta^2 + \rho' |\nabla u|_{\alpha\beta}^2,$$

hence

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{\alpha\beta} = I + II + III + IV,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} a_{ii, \alpha\beta}, & II &= - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij, \alpha} a_{ij, \beta}, \\ III &= \rho'' \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} |\nabla u|_\alpha^2 |\nabla u|_\beta^2, & IV &= \rho' \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} |\nabla u|_{\alpha\beta}^2. \end{aligned}$$

In the rest of this section, we will deal with the four terms above respectively.

For the term III , we have

$$(3.5) \quad III = \rho'' \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} |\nabla u|_{\alpha}^2 |\nabla u|_{\beta}^2 = 4\rho'' u_n^2 \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{n\alpha} u_{n\beta}.$$

In a similar way, for the term IV we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} IV &= \rho' \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} |\nabla u|_{\alpha\beta}^2 = \rho' \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} \left(2u_n u_{n\alpha\beta} + 2 \sum_{1 \leq \gamma \leq n} u_{\gamma\alpha} u_{\gamma\beta} \right) \\ &= 2\rho' u_n \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha\beta n} + 2\rho' \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{n\alpha} u_{n\beta} + 2\rho' \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha i} u_{\beta i}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we deal with the term I . By (2.7), one has

$$(3.7) \quad A_{ii} = a_{ii} E, \quad \text{where } E = |\nabla u| u_n^2.$$

Taking the second derivative of (3.7), we get

$$A_{ii, \alpha\beta} = a_{ii, \alpha\beta} E + a_{ii, \alpha} E_{\beta} + a_{ii, \beta} E_{\alpha} + a_{ii} E_{\alpha\beta},$$

so

$$(3.8) \quad I = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} a_{ii, \alpha\beta} = I_1 + I_2 + I_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= u_n^{-3} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \left(\sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} A_{ii, \alpha\beta} \right), \quad I_2 = -(n-1) u_n^{-3} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} E_{\alpha\beta}, \\ I_3 &= -2u_n^{-3} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii, \beta} \right) E_{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$E_{\alpha} = 3u_n^2 u_{n\alpha}, \quad E_{\alpha\beta} = 5u_n u_{n\alpha} u_{n\beta} + 3u_n^2 u_{n\alpha\beta} + u_n \sum_{1 \leq \gamma \leq n} u_{\gamma\alpha} u_{\gamma\beta},$$

by (3.3), we obtain

$$(3.9) \quad I_3 = 12\rho' \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{n\alpha} u_{n\beta} - 6u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{n\alpha} \varphi_{\beta};$$

also

(3.10)

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2 &= -(n-1)u_n^{-3} \left(5u_n \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{n\alpha} u_{n\beta} + 3u_n^2 \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{n\alpha\beta} + u_n \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{\gamma\alpha} u_{\gamma\beta} \right) \\
&= -6(n-1)u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{n\alpha} u_{n\beta} - 3(n-1)u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha\beta n} \\
&\quad - (n-1)u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha i} u_{\beta i}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the term I_1 , recalling the definition of A_{ij} , i.e. (2.5) and (2.6), at x_o we have

$$C_{ii, \alpha\beta} = 0,$$

therefore

$$A_{ii, \alpha\beta} = -h_{ii, \alpha\beta} + B_{ii, \alpha\beta}.$$

By (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
&u_n^{-3} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \left(\sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} B_{ii, \alpha\beta} \right) \\
&= u_n^{-3} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} \left(\frac{2 \sum_{1 \leq l \leq n-1} u_i u_l h_{il}}{W(1+W)u_n^2} \right)_{\alpha\beta} \\
&= -2u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha i} u_{\beta i}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.11) \quad I_1 &= u_n^{-3} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \left(\sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} A_{ii, \alpha\beta} \right) \\
&= u_n^{-3} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} (-h_{ii, \alpha\beta}) - 2u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha i} u_{\beta i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.4)-(3.6), (3.8)-(3.11), it yields

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.12) \quad \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{\alpha\beta} &= u_n^{-3} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} (-h_{ii, \alpha\beta}) - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij, \alpha} a_{ij, \beta} \\
&\quad + [2\rho' u_n - 3(n-1)u_n^{-1}] \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{n\alpha\beta} \\
&\quad + [4\rho'' u_n^2 + 14\rho' - 6(n-1)u_n^{-2}] \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{n\alpha} u_{n\beta} \\
&\quad + [2\rho' - (n+1)u_n^{-2}] \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha i} u_{\beta i} - 6u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{n\alpha} \varphi_{\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we will compute the term

$$u_n^{-3} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta}(-h_{ii, \alpha\beta}).$$

By differentiating (2.3) twice, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -h_{ii, \alpha} &= -u_n^2 u_{ii\alpha} - 2u_n u_{n\alpha} u_{ii} - u_{nn\alpha} u_i^2 - 2u_i u_{i\alpha} u_{nn} \\ &\quad + 2u_n u_i u_{ni\alpha} + 2u_n u_{i\alpha} u_{ni} + 2u_{n\alpha} u_i u_{ni}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -h_{ii, \alpha\beta} &= -u_n^2 u_{ii\alpha\beta} - 2u_n u_{n\alpha} u_{ii\beta} - 2u_n u_{n\beta} u_{ii\alpha} + 2u_n u_{i\alpha} u_{ni\beta} \\ &\quad + 2u_n u_{i\beta} u_{ni\alpha} + 2u_n u_{i\alpha\beta} u_{ni} - 2u_n u_{n\alpha\beta} u_{ii} + 2u_{n\alpha} u_{i\beta} u_{ni} \\ &\quad + 2u_{n\beta} u_{i\alpha} u_{ni} - 2u_{nn} u_{i\beta} u_{i\alpha} - 2u_{n\beta} u_{n\alpha} u_{ii}, \end{aligned}$$

since at x_o , $u_{ii} = -u_n a_{ii}$, therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &u_n^{-3} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta}(-h_{ii, \alpha\beta}) \\ &= -u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{ii\alpha\beta} - 4u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{n\alpha} u_{ii\beta} \\ (3.13) \quad &+ 4u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{i\alpha} u_{ni\beta} + 2u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha\beta i} \\ &+ 2(n-1)u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha\beta n} + 4u_n^{-3} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{n\alpha} u_{i\beta} \\ &- 2u_n^{-3} u_{nn} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{i\beta} u_{i\alpha} + 2(n-1)u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{n\beta} u_{n\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.3), (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$A_{ii, \alpha} = -h_{ii, \alpha} = -2u_n u_{n\alpha} u_{ii} - u_n^2 u_{ii\alpha} + 2u_n u_{i\alpha} u_{in},$$

on the other hand, by (2.7),

$$A_{ii, \alpha} = (a_{ii} |\nabla u| u_n^2)_\alpha = u_n^3 a_{ii, \alpha} + 3u_n^2 u_{n\alpha} a_{ii},$$

therefore

$$(3.14) \quad u_{ii\alpha} = -u_n a_{ii, \alpha} + 2u_n^{-1} u_{ni} u_{i\alpha} - u_{n\alpha} a_{ii}.$$

By (3.3) and (3.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.15) \quad & -4u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{n\alpha} u_{ii\beta} \\
& = -4u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{n\alpha} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} (-u_n a_{ii,\beta} + 2u_n^{-1} u_{ni} u_{i\beta} + u_n^{-1} u_{n\beta} u_{ii}) \\
& = [-8\rho' + 4(n-1)u_n^{-2}] \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{n\alpha} u_{n\beta} - 8u_n^{-3} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{n\alpha} u_{i\beta} \\
& \quad + 4u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{n\alpha} \varphi_\beta.
\end{aligned}$$

Noticing that $(F^{\alpha\beta})$ is diagonal at the considered point x_o , in a similar way we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.16) \quad & 4u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{i\alpha} u_{ni\beta} \\
& = 4u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \sum_{1 \leq \beta \leq n} F^{i\beta} u_{ii} u_{ni\beta} + 4u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \sum_{1 \leq \beta \leq n} F^{n\beta} u_{ni} u_{ni\beta} \\
& = -4u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} F^{ii} u_{iin} + 4u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha\beta i} \\
& \quad - 4u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} F^{jj} u_{jji}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.12)-(3.13) and (3.15)-(3.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \quad & \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{\alpha\beta} = -u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{ii,\alpha\beta} - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,\alpha} a_{ij,\beta}, \\
& \quad + 6u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha\beta i} + [2\rho' u_n - (n-1)u_n^{-1}] \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha\beta n} \\
& \quad - 4u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} F^{ii} u_{iin} - 4u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} F^{jj} u_{jji} \\
& \quad - 4u_n^{-3} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{n\alpha} u_{i\beta} - 2u_{nn} u_n^{-3} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{i\beta} u_{i\alpha} \\
& \quad + [2\rho' - (n+1)u_n^{-2}] \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha i} u_{\beta i} + [4\rho'' u_n^2 + 6\rho'] \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{n\alpha} u_{n\beta} \\
& \quad - 2u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{n\alpha} \varphi_\beta.
\end{aligned}$$

Noticed that $F^{nn}u_{nn} = \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta}u_{\alpha\beta} - \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} F^{jj}u_{jj}$, the terms in the fourth line of the formula (3.17) can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.18) \quad & -4u_n^{-3} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii}u_{ni} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta}u_{n\alpha}u_{i\beta} - 2u_{nn}u_n^{-3} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta}u_{i\beta}u_{i\alpha} \\
& = -6u_n^{-3} F^{nn}u_{nn} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii}u_{ni}^2 + 4u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} F^{jj}u_{nj}^2 + 2u_n^{-2}u_{nn} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} F^{jj}u_{jj} \\
& = \left(-6u_n^{-3} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta}u_{\alpha\beta} + 6u_n^{-3} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} F^{jj}u_{jj} \right) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii}u_{ni}^2 \\
& \quad + 4u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} F^{jj}u_{nj}^2 + 2u_n^{-2}u_{nn} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} F^{jj}u_{jj}
\end{aligned}$$

By inserting (3.18) into (3.17), we can deduce the following formula

$$(3.19) \quad \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta}\varphi_{\alpha\beta} = L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 &= -u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta}u_{\alpha\beta ii} + 6u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii}u_{ni} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta}u_{\alpha\beta i} \\
&\quad - 6u_n^{-3} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta}u_{\alpha\beta} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii}u_{ni}^2 + [2\rho'u_n - (n-1)u_n^{-1}] \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta}u_{n\alpha\beta}; \\
L_2 &= - \sum_{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n-1} F^{kk}a^{ii}a^{jj}a_{ij,k}^2 - F^{nn} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii}a^{jj}a_{ij,n}^2 - 4u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} F^{ii}u_{iin} \\
&\quad - 4u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii}u_{ni}F^{jj}u_{jj i}; \\
L_3 &= [4\rho''u_n^2 + 6\rho']F^{nn}u_{nn}^2 + [4\rho''u_n^2 + 6\rho' + 4u_n^{-2}] \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} F^{ii}u_{ni}^2 \\
&\quad + 6u_n^{-3} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} F^{jj}u_{jj} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii}u_{ni}^2 + 2u_n^{-2}u_{nn} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} F^{jj}u_{jj} \\
&\quad + [2\rho' - (n+1)u_n^{-2}]F^{nn} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} u_{ni}^2 + [2\rho' - (n+1)u_n^{-2}] \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} F^{jj}u_{jj}^2; \\
L_4 &= -2u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta}u_{n\alpha}\varphi_{\beta}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us state the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let A, B, C, D be four constants and $A > 0, C > 0$. Denote*

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} M_1 &= -A \sum_{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,k}^2 + 4B \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{jj} u_{nj} a_{ii,j}, \\ M_2 &= -C \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,n}^2 + 4D \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii,n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then at x_o , by (3.3), we have

$$M_1 + M_2 = N_1 + N_2 + N_3 + N_4 + N_5,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 &= -C \left(\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,n} \right)^2 - C \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (a^{ii} a_{ii,n})^2 + 4 \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \left[D(a_{ii} - a_{11}) - C\rho' u_n u_{nn} \right] a^{ii} a_{ii,n}, \\ N_2 &= -A \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{ii} a^{11}) \cdot (a^{ii} a_{ii,1})^2 - A \left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,1} \right)^2 \\ &\quad + 4u_{n1} \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} \left[B(a_{ii} a^{11} - 1) - A\rho' u_n \right] \cdot (a^{ii} a_{ii,1}), \\ N_3 &= \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} \left\{ -A(1 + 2a_{11} a^{jj}) \cdot \left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,j} \right)^2 - A \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} 2a_{ii} a^{jj} \cdot (a^{ii} a_{ii,j})^2 \right. \\ &\quad + 4u_{nj} \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} \left[Ba_{ii} a^{jj} - A\rho' u_n - (B + 2A\rho' u_n) a_{11} a^{jj} \right] \cdot (a^{ii} a_{ii,j}) \\ &\quad \left. - A \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} (a^{ii} a_{ii,j})^2 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N_4 &= 2A\varphi_1 \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,1} + 4A\rho' u_n u_{n1} \varphi_1 + 2A \sum_{2 \leq i, j \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{11} a^{jj}) a^{ii} a_{ii,j} \varphi_j \\ &\quad + 4A\rho' u_n \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{11} a^{jj}) u_{nj} \varphi_j + 4Ba_{11} \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} a^{jj} u_{nj} \varphi_j - A\varphi_1^2 - C\varphi_n^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \left[C \left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,n} + 2\rho' u_n u_{nn} \right) + 2Da_{11} \right] \varphi_n - A \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{11} a^{jj}) \varphi_j^2, \\ N_5 &= -u_n^2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j, j \neq k, k \neq i}} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,k}^2 - u_n^2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,n}^2 - (4A\rho'^2 u_n^2 + 8B\rho' u_n) u_{n1}^2 \\ &\quad - \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} (4A\rho'^2 u_n^2 + 8A\rho'^2 u_n^2 a_{11} a^{jj} + 8B\rho' u_n a_{11} a^{jj}) u_{nj}^2 - 4C\rho'^2 u_n^2 u_{nn}^2 \\ &\quad - 8D\rho' u_n a_{11} u_{nn}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For the term M_1 , we have

$$(3.21) \quad M_1 = M_{11} + M_{12} + M_{13} + M_{14},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_{11} &= -A \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j, j \neq k, k \neq i}} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,k}^2, & M_{12} &= -A \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} (a^{ii} a_{ii,i})^2, \\ M_{13} &= -2A \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,j}^2 - A \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} (a^{ii} a_{ii,j})^2, \\ M_{14} &= 4B \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{jj} u_{nj} a_{ii,j}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.3),

$$(3.22) \quad a^{11} a_{11,\alpha} = \varphi_\alpha - \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,\alpha} - 2\rho' u_n u_{n\alpha},$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} M_{12} &= -A (a^{11} a_{11,1})^2 - A \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} (a^{ii} a_{ii,i})^2 \\ (3.23) \quad &= -A \left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,1} \right)^2 - 4A\rho' u_n u_{n1} \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,1} - 4A\rho'^2 u_n^2 u_{n1}^2 \\ &\quad - A \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} (a^{ii} a_{ii,i})^2 + 2A\varphi_1 \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,1} + 4A\rho' u_n u_{n1} \varphi_1 - A\varphi_1^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (3.24) \quad M_{13} &= -A \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{11} a^{jj}) \cdot (a^{11} a_{11,j})^2 - A \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} (1 + 2a_{ii} a^{jj}) \cdot (a^{ii} a_{ii,j})^2 \\ &= -A \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{11} a^{jj}) \cdot \left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,j} \right)^2 - 4A\rho'^2 u_n^2 \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{11} a^{jj}) u_{nj}^2 \\ &\quad - A \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{ii} a^{11}) \cdot (a^{ii} a_{ii,1})^2 - A \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i, j \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} (1 + 2a_{ii} a^{jj}) \cdot (a^{ii} a_{ii,j})^2 \\ &\quad - 4A\rho' u_n \sum_{2 \leq i, j \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{11} a^{jj}) u_{nj} a^{ii} a_{ii,j} + 2A \sum_{2 \leq i, j \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{11} a^{jj}) a^{ii} a_{ii,j} \varphi_j \\ &\quad + 4A\rho' u_n \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{11} a^{jj}) u_{nj} \varphi_j - A \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{11} a^{jj}) \varphi_j^2. \end{aligned}$$

Making use of (3.22) again, we can obtain

(3.25)

$$\begin{aligned}
M_{14} &= 4B \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} a_{11,i} + 4B \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ 2 \leq j \leq n-1}} a^{ii} u_{ni} a_{jj,i} \\
&= 4B u_{n1} \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} (a^{11} - a^{ii}) a_{ii,1} - 4B \sum_{2 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a_{11} a^{ii} a^{jj} u_{ni} a_{jj,i} \\
&\quad + 4B \sum_{2 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} a_{jj,i} - 8B \rho' u_n a_{11} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2 + 4B a_{11} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} \varphi_i.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.21), (3.23)–(3.25),

(3.26)

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1 &= -A \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{ii} a^{11}) \cdot (a^{ii} a_{ii,1})^2 - A \left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,1} \right)^2 \\
&\quad + 4u_{n1} \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} \left[B(a_{ii} a^{11} - 1) - A \rho' u_n \right] \cdot (a^{ii} a_{ii,1}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} \left\{ -A(1 + 2a_{11} a^{jj}) \cdot \left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,j} \right)^2 - A \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} 2a_{ii} a^{jj} \cdot (a^{ii} a_{ii,j})^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - A \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} (a^{ii} a_{ii,j})^2 + 4u_{nj} \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} [B a_{ii} a^{jj} - A \rho' u_n - (B + 2A \rho' u_n) a_{11} a^{jj}] \cdot (a^{ii} a_{ii,j}) \right\} \\
&\quad - A \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j, j \neq k, k \neq i}} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,k}^2 - \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} \left(4A \rho'^2 u_n^2 + 8A \rho'^2 u_n^2 a_{11} a^{jj} + 8B \rho' u_n a_{11} a^{jj} \right) u_{nj}^2 \\
&\quad - \left(4A \rho'^2 u_n^2 + 8B \rho' u_n \right) u_{n1}^2 + M_1(\nabla \varphi),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1(\nabla \varphi) &= 2A \varphi_1 \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,1} + 4A \rho' u_n u_{n1} \varphi_1 + 2A \sum_{2 \leq i, j \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{11} a^{jj}) a^{ii} a_{ii,j} \varphi_j \\
&\quad + 4A \rho' u_n \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{11} a^{jj}) u_{nj} \varphi_j + 4B a_{11} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} a^{jj} u_{nj} \varphi_j - A \varphi_1^2 \\
&\quad - A \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{11} a^{jj}) \varphi_j^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For the term M_2 , we write it as

$$(3.27) \quad M_2 = M_{21} + M_{22},$$

where

$$M_{21} = -C \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,n}^2, \quad M_{22} = 4D \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii,n}.$$

Analogy, by (3.22) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.28) \quad M_{21} &= -C \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,n}^2 - C(a^{11} a_{11,n})^2 - C \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} (a^{ii} a_{ii,n})^2 \\ &= -C \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,n}^2 - 4C\rho' u_n u_{nn} \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,n} - C \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} (a^{ii} a_{ii,n})^2 \\ &\quad - 4C\rho'^2 u_n^2 u_{nn}^2 - C \left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,n} \right)^2 + 2C \left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,n} + 2\rho' u_n u_{nn} \right) \varphi_n - C\varphi_n^2, \end{aligned}$$

also

$$\begin{aligned} (3.29) \quad M_{22} &= 4Da_{11,n} + 4D \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii,n} \\ &= -4D \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{11} a^{ii} a_{ii,n} - 8D\rho' u_n a_{11} u_{nn} + 4D \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii,n} + 4Da_{11} \varphi_n. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.27)–(3.29), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M_2 &= -C \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,n}^2 - C \left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,n} \right)^2 - C \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} (a^{ii} a_{ii,n})^2 \\ &\quad - 4(C\rho' u_n u_{nn} + Da_{11}) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,n} + 4D \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii,n} - 4C\rho'^2 u_n^2 u_{nn}^2 \\ &\quad - 8D\rho' u_n a_{11} u_{nn} - C\varphi_n^2 + 2 \left(C \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,n} + 2C\rho' u_n u_{nn} + 2Da_{11} \right) \varphi_n. \end{aligned}$$

After the computation above, we denote by N_1 the terms involving $a_{ii,n}$ ($2 \leq i \leq n-1$); N_2 the terms involving $a_{ii,1}$ ($2 \leq i \leq n-1$); N_3 the terms involving $a_{ii,j}$ ($2 \leq i, j \leq n-1$); N_4 the terms involving $\nabla\varphi$ and N_5 the other terms. Then we complete the proof. $\square$

Now we state the following elementary calculus lemma, which had appeared in [18].

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\lambda \geq 0$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, $b_i > 0$ and $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$. Define the quadratic polynomial*

$$\mathcal{Q}(X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}) = - \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} b_i X_i^2 - \lambda \left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} X_i \right)^2 + 4\mu \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} c_i X_i.$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{Q}(X_2, \dots, X_{n-1}) \leq 4\mu^2\Gamma,$$

where

$$\Gamma = \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} \frac{c_i^2}{b_i} - \lambda \left(1 + \lambda \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} \frac{1}{b_i} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} \frac{c_i}{b_i} \right)^2.$$

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1.

In this section, we shall study the following equation

$$(4.1) \quad \operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and prove the Theorem 1.1.

Denote

$$(4.2) \quad F^{\alpha\beta} = (1 + |\nabla u|^2) \delta_{\alpha\beta} - u_\alpha u_\beta,$$

then equation (4.1) is reduced to

$$(4.3) \quad F^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha\beta} = 0.$$

As we have mentioned in the last section, set

$$\varphi = \rho(|\nabla u|^2) + \log K(x).$$

For suitable choice of ρ , we will derive the following elliptic differential inequality

$$\sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{\alpha\beta} \leq 0 \quad \text{mod } \nabla \varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where we modify the terms of $\nabla \varphi$ with locally bounded coefficients. We shall complete the calculation at the fixed point x_o . As in the last section we may assume that $u_i(x_o) = 0$ ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) and $u_n(x_o) = |\nabla u| > 0$. And we can further assume that the matrix $(u_{ij}(x_o))$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq n-1$) is diagonal and $u_{ii}(x_o) < 0$.

In this section, all the calculation will be worked at x_o .

By (4.2), we have

$$(4.4) \quad F^{ii} = 1 + u_n^2 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n-1), \quad F^{nn} = 1, \quad F^{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \neq \beta,$$

and

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} F_{,\gamma}^{\alpha\beta} &= 2u_n u_{n\gamma} \delta_{\alpha\beta} - u_{\alpha\gamma} u_\beta - u_\alpha u_{\beta\gamma}, \\ F_{,ii}^{\alpha\beta} &= 2 \sum_{1 \leq \gamma \leq n} u_{\gamma i}^2 \delta_{\alpha\beta} + 2u_n u_{iin} \delta_{\alpha\beta} - u_{\alpha ii} u_\beta - u_\alpha u_{\beta ii} - 2u_{\alpha i} u_{\beta i}. \end{aligned}$$

It is also easy to check

$$(4.6) \quad u_{nn} = u_n(1 + u_n^2)\sigma_1, \quad \Delta u = u_n^3\sigma_1, \quad \text{where} \quad \sigma_1 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii}.$$

Recalling the following formula we obtained in (3.19),

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{\alpha\beta} = L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4,$$

we will treat the terms L_1 , L_2 , L_3 and L_4 above respectively.

Let us deal with the term L_1 in (4.7) at first. By the equation (4.3), we have

$$L_1 = L_{11} + L_{12} + L_{13},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_{11} &= 6u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha\beta i}, \\ L_{12} &= [2\rho' u_n - (n-1)u_n^{-1}] \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha\beta n}, \\ L_{13} &= -u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha\beta ii}. \end{aligned}$$

Then by (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} L_{11} &= -6u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F_i^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha\beta} \\ (4.8) \quad &= 6u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} \left[2u_n u_{ni} (u_n \sigma_1 + u_{ii}) \right] \\ &= 12\sigma_1 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2 - 12 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} u_{ni}^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_{12} &= -[2\rho' u_n - (n-1)u_n^{-1}] \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F_n^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha\beta} \\ (4.9) \quad &= [2\rho' u_n - (n-1)u_n^{-1}] \left(2u_n^2 \sigma_1 u_{nn} + 2u_n \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} u_{nj}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we shall calculate the term L_{13} . By differentiating (4.3) twice with respect to x_i , we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha\beta ii} = - \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F_{,ii}^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha\beta} - 2 \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F_{,i}^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha\beta i}.$$

By (4.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
-u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{ii\alpha\beta} &= u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F_{,ii}^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha\beta} + 2u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F_{,i}^{\alpha\beta} u_{\alpha\beta i} \\
&= 2u_n^{-1} \Delta u \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n} u_{i\alpha}^2 + 2\Delta u u_{nii} - 2 \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n} u_{\alpha ii} u_{n\alpha} \\
&\quad - 2u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n} u_{\alpha i} u_{\beta i} u_{\alpha\beta} + 4u_{ni} (\Delta u)_i - 4 \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n} u_{\alpha i} u_{ni\alpha} \\
&= L_{13a} + L_{13b} + L_{13c},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{13a} &= 2u_n^{-1} \Delta u \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n} u_{i\alpha}^2 - 2u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n} u_{\alpha i} u_{\beta i} u_{\alpha\beta}, \quad L_{13b} = 2\Delta u u_{nii} - 2 \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n} u_{\alpha ii} u_{n\alpha}, \\
L_{13c} &= 4u_{ni} (\Delta u)_i - 4 \sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n} u_{\alpha i} u_{ni\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, for the minimal surface equation, we have

$$(4.10) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} L_{13a} = -2\sigma_1 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2 + 2u_n \Delta u \sigma_1 + 4 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} u_{ni}^2 + 2u_n^2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii}^2.$$

Thanks to (3.14) and (3.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.11) \quad \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} L_{13b} &= 2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{iin} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} u_{jj} - 2 \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ijj} u_{nj} \\
&= -2u_n \sigma_1 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} (-u_n a_{ii,n} + 2u_n^{-1} u_{ni}^2 + u_n^{-1} u_{nn} u_{ii}) \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} u_{nj} a^{ii} (-u_n a_{ii,j} + 2u_n^{-1} u_{ni} u_{ij} + u_n^{-1} u_{nj} u_{ii}) \\
&= [2(n-1) - 4\rho' u_n^2] u_n u_{nn} \sigma_1 + [2(n+1) - 4\rho' u_n^2] \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} u_{ni}^2 \\
&\quad - 4\sigma_1 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2 + 2u_n^2 \sigma_1 \varphi_n + 2u_n \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} u_{nj} \varphi_j,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} L_{13c} &= 4 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} u_{jji} + 4u_n \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} u_{iin} \\
&= 4 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} (-u_n a_{jj,i} + 2u_n^{-1} u_{nj} u_{ji} + u_n^{-1} u_{ni} u_{jj}) \\
&\quad + 4u_n \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} (-u_n a_{ii,n} + 2u_n^{-1} u_{ni}^2 + u_n^{-1} u_{nn} u_{ii}) \\
(4.12) \quad &= -4\sigma_1 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2 - 4u_n^2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii,n} - 4u_n u_{nn} \sigma_1 \\
&\quad - 4u_n \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} a_{jj,i}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.10)–(4.12), it yields

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{13} &= -u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} u_{ii,\alpha\beta} \\
(4.13) \quad &= -4u_n^2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii,n} - 4u_n \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} a_{jj,i} + 2u_n^2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii}^2 \\
&\quad + (2n - 6 - 4\rho' u_n^2) u_n u_{nn} \sigma_1 + 2u_n \Delta u \sigma_1 + (2n + 6 - 4\rho' u_n^2) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} u_{ni}^2 \\
&\quad - 10\sigma_1 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2 + 2u_n \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} u_{nj} \varphi_j + 2u_n^2 \sigma_1 \varphi_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.8), (4.9) and (4.13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 &= -4u_n^2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii,n} - 4u_n \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} a_{jj,i} - 4u_n u_{nn} \sigma_1 \\
(4.14) \quad &\quad + 2u_n \Delta u \sigma_1 + 2\sigma_1 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2 + 2u_n^2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii}^2 \\
&\quad - 4 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} u_{ni}^2 + 2u_n^2 \sigma_1 \varphi_n + 2u_n \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} u_{nj} \varphi_j.
\end{aligned}$$

For the term L_2 in (4.7), applying (3.14) and (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.15) \quad L_2 &= - (1 + u_n^2) \sum_{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,k}^2 - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,n}^2 \\
&\quad - 4u_n^{-1} (1 + u_n^2) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} u_{iin} - 4(1 + u_n^{-2}) \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} u_{jji} \\
&= - (1 + u_n^2) \sum_{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,k}^2 - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,n}^2 \\
&\quad + 4(1 + u_n^2) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii,n} + 4u_n^{-1} (1 + u_n^2) \sigma_1 u_{nn} \\
&\quad + 4u_n^{-1} (1 + u_n^2) \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} a_{jj,i} + 4(1 + u_n^{-2}) \sigma_1 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

For the term L_3 and L_4 , in a similar way, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.16) \quad L_3 &= [2\rho' u_n^2 - (n+1)] (1 + u_n^2) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} a_{jj}^2 + [4\rho'' u_n^4 + 6\rho' u_n^2] (1 + u_n^2) \sigma_1^2 \\
&\quad - 6u_n^{-2} (1 + u_n^2) \sigma_1 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2 - 2u_n^{-1} (1 + u_n^2) u_{nn} \sigma_1 \\
&\quad + \left[(6\rho' u_n^2 + 4\rho'' u_n^4 + 4) + (8\rho' u_n^2 + 4\rho'' u_n^4 - n + 3) u_n^{-2} \right] \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} u_{ni}^2, \\
L_4 &= - 2u_n^{-1} u_{nn} \varphi_n - 2u_n^{-1} (1 + u_n^2) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} u_{ni} \varphi_i.
\end{aligned}$$

With (4.6) in hand, combining (4.14)–(4.16), we finally get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.17) \quad \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{\alpha\beta} &= - (1 + u_n^2) \sum_{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,k}^2 - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,n}^2 + 4 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii,n} \\
&\quad + 4u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} a_{jj,i} + \left[(2\rho' u_n^2 - n - 1) + (2\rho' u_n^2 - n + 1) u_n^2 \right] \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii}^2 \\
&\quad + \left[(6\rho' u_n^2 + 4\rho'' u_n^4) + (8\rho' u_n^2 + 4\rho'' u_n^4 - n + 3) u_n^{-2} \right] \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} u_{ni}^2 \\
&\quad + \left[4\rho'' u_n^4 (1 + u_n^2)^2 + 6\rho' u_n^2 (1 + u_n^2)^2 + 2 \right] \sigma_1^2 - 2u_n^{-2} \sigma_1 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2 \\
&\quad - 2u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} u_{nj} \varphi_j - 2\sigma_1 \varphi_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we shall try a test function to estimate the Gaussian curvature of the level sets of the minimal graph. By setting $\rho(t) = \theta[\log t - \log(1+t)]$ in (4.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.18) \quad \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{\alpha\beta} = & - (1 + u_n^2) \sum_{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,k}^2 - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,n}^2 + 4 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii,n} \\
& + 4u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} a_{jj,i} + \left[(2\theta - n - 1) - (n-1)u_n^2 \right] \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii}^2 \\
& + \left[2\theta(1 - u_n^2)(1 + u_n^2)^{-2} + \left(4\theta(1 + u_n^2)^{-2} - n + 3 \right) u_n^{-2} \right] \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} u_{ni}^2 \\
& + \left[2\theta(1 - u_n^2) + 2 \right] \sigma_1^2 - 2u_n^{-2} \sigma_1 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2 \\
& - 2u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} u_{nj} \varphi_j - 2\sigma_1 \varphi_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us solve the 2-dimensional case at first. Now the formula (4.18) reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.19) \quad \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 2} F^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{\alpha\beta} = & - (1 + u_2^2) (a^{11} a_{11,1})^2 - (a^{11} a_{11,2})^2 + 4a_{11,2} + 4u_2^{-1} u_{21} a^{11} a_{11,1} \\
& + \left[2\theta(1 - u_2^2)(1 + u_2^2)^{-2} + \left(4\theta(1 + u_2^2)^{-2} - 1 \right) u_2^{-2} \right] u_{21}^2 \\
& + \left[4\theta - 1 - (2\theta + 1)u_2^2 \right] a_{11}^2 - 2u_2^{-1} u_{21} \varphi_1 - 2\sigma_1 \varphi_2.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.3), we have

$$a^{11} a_{11,\alpha} = \varphi_\alpha - 2\theta u_2^{-1} (1 + u_2^2)^{-1} u_{2\alpha}, \quad \text{for } \alpha = 1, 2.$$

For $\theta = -\frac{1}{2}$, it reads

$$(4.20) \quad \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 2} F^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{\alpha\beta} = -(1 + u_2^2) \varphi_1^2 - \varphi_2^2,$$

which shows the validity of the Theorem 1.1 in 2-dimensional case via the strong minimum (maximum) principle.

In the following, we come to deal with the case $n \geq 3$. Let

$$\sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{\alpha\beta} = P_1 + P_2 + P_3,$$

where

$$P_1 = -(1 + u_n^2) \sum_{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,k}^2 + 4u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} a_{jj,i} \\ - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,n}^2 + 4 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii,n},$$

and

$$P_2 = \left[(2\theta - n - 1) - (n - 1)u_n^2 \right] \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii}^2 + \left[2\theta(1 - u_n^2) + 2 \right] \sigma_1^2 \\ + \left[2\theta(1 - u_n^2)(1 + u_n^2)^{-2} + \left(4\theta(1 + u_n^2)^{-2} - n + 3 \right) u_n^{-2} \right] \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} u_{ni}^2 \\ - 2u_n^{-2} \sigma_1 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2, \\ P_3 = -2u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} u_{nj} \varphi_j - 2\sigma_1 \varphi_n.$$

To deal with the term P_1 , we can set $A = 1 + u_n^2$, $B = u_n^{-1}$, $C = D = 1$ in Lemma 3.1. Let us denote by (Q_1) the terms involving $a_{ii,n}$ ($2 \leq i \leq n-1$); (Q_2) the terms involving $a_{ii,1}$ ($2 \leq i \leq n-1$); (Q_3) the terms involving $a_{ii,j}$ ($2 \leq i, j \leq n-1$); (Q_4) the terms involving $\nabla \varphi$ and (Q_5) all of the rest terms. More precisely, we have

$$(4.21) \quad \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{\alpha\beta} = Q_1 + Q_2 + Q_3 + Q_4 + Q_5,$$

where

$$Q_1 = - \left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,n} \right)^2 - \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} (a^{ii} a_{ii,n})^2 + 4 \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} (a_{ii} - a_{11} - \theta \sigma_1) a^{ii} a_{ii,n}, \\ Q_2 = - (1 + u_n^2) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{ii} a^{11}) \cdot (a^{ii} a_{ii,1})^2 - (1 + u_n^2) \left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,1} \right)^2 \\ + 4u_n^{-1} u_{n1} \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} \left[(a_{ii} a^{11} - 1) - \theta \right] \cdot (a^{ii} a_{ii,1}), \\ Q_3 = \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} \left\{ - (1 + u_n^2) (1 + 2a_{11} a^{jj}) \cdot \left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,j} \right)^2 \right. \\ \left. + 4u_n^{-1} u_{nj} \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} \left[a_{ii} a^{jj} - \theta - (1 + 2\theta) a_{11} a^{jj} \right] \cdot (a^{ii} a_{ii,j}) \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - (1 + u_n^2) \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} 2a_{ii}a^{jj} \cdot (a^{ii}a_{ii,j})^2 - (1 + u_n^2) \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} (a^{ii}a_{ii,j})^2 \Big\}, \\
Q_4 = & 2(1 + u_n^2)\varphi_1 \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii}a_{ii,1} + 4\theta u_n^{-1}u_{n1}\varphi_1 + 2(1 + u_n^2) \sum_{2 \leq i, j \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{11}a^{jj})a^{ii}a_{ii,j}\varphi_j \\
& + 4\theta u_n^{-1} \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{11}a^{jj})u_{nj}\varphi_j + 4u_n^{-1}a_{11} \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} a^{jj}u_{nj}\varphi_j - (1 + u_n^2)\varphi_1^2 - \varphi_n^2 \\
& + 2 \Big[\left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii}a_{ii,n} + 2\theta(1 + u_n^2)^{-1}u_n^{-1}u_{nn} \right) + 2a_{11} \Big] \varphi_n \\
& - (1 + u_n^2) \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{11}a^{jj})\varphi_j^2 + P_3,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_5 = & P_2 - (1 + u_n^2) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j, j \neq k, k \neq i}} a^{ii}a^{jj}a_{ij,k}^2 - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} a^{ii}a^{jj}a_{ij,n}^2 \\
& - u_n^{-2}(1 + u_n^2)^{-1} \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} \left(4\theta^2 + 8\theta^2 a_{11}a^{jj} + 8\theta a_{11}a^{jj} \right) u_{nj}^2 \\
& - (4\theta^2 + 8\theta)u_n^{-2}(1 + u_n^2)^{-1}u_{n1}^2 - 4\theta^2\sigma_1^2 - 8\theta a_{11}\sigma_1.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2, we will maximize the terms Q_1 , Q_2 and Q_3 for appropriate parameters. At first, let us examine the term Q_1 . For $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, set $X_i = a^{ii}a_{ii,n}$, $\lambda = 1$, $\mu = 1$, $b_i = 1$ and $c_i = a_{ii} - a_{11} - \theta\sigma_1$. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.22) \quad Q_1 \leq & 4 \left[\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} c_i^2 - \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} c_i \right)^2 \right] \\
= & 4 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} a_{jj}^2 + \frac{4(n-2)}{(n-1)}\theta^2\sigma_1^2 - \frac{8}{n-1}\theta\sigma_1^2 - \frac{4}{n-1}\sigma_1^2 + 8\theta a_{11}\sigma_1.
\end{aligned}$$

For the term $(1 + u_n^2)^{-1}Q_2$, in Lemma 3.2, set $X_i = a^{ii}a_{ii,1}$, $\lambda = 1$, $\mu = u_{n1}u_n^{-1}(1 + u_n^2)^{-1}$, $b_i = 1 + 2a_{ii}a^{11}$ and $c_i = a_{ii}a^{11} - 1 - \theta$ for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$. Also by Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{Q_2}{(1 + u_n^2)} & \leq \frac{4u_{n1}^2}{u_n^2(1 + u_n^2)^2} \Gamma_1 \\
& = \frac{4u_{n1}^2}{u_n^2(1 + u_n^2)^2} \left[\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} \frac{c_i^2}{b_i} - \left(1 + \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} \frac{1}{b_i} \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} \frac{c_i}{b_i} \right)^2 \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Let us simplify Γ_1 . By denoting

$$\beta_i = \frac{1}{b_i},$$

we have

$$a_{ii}a^{11} = \frac{1}{2\beta_i} - \frac{1}{2}, \quad c_i = \frac{1}{2\beta_i} - \frac{3}{2} - \theta.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &= \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} \beta_i \left(\frac{1}{2\beta_i} - \frac{3}{2} - \theta \right)^2 - \left(1 + \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} \beta_i \right)^{-1} \left[\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} \beta_i \left(\frac{1}{2\beta_i} - \frac{3}{2} - \theta \right) \right]^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} \frac{1}{\beta_i} - \left(1 + \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} \beta_i \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{n+1}{2} + \theta \right)^2 + \left(\frac{3}{2} + \theta \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$1 \leq 1 + \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} \beta_i \leq n-1,$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_1 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} \frac{1}{\beta_i} - \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\frac{n+1}{2} + \theta \right)^2 + \left(\frac{3}{2} + \theta \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{n-2}{n-1} (1 + \theta)^2 + \frac{1}{4} (2\sigma_1 a^{11} - 2). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$(4.23) \quad Q_2 \leq u_n^{-2} (1 + u_n^2)^{-1} \left[\frac{4(n-2)}{n-1} (1 + \theta)^2 + 2\sigma_1 a^{11} - 2 \right] u_{n1}^2.$$

Let us estimate the term $(1 + u_n^2)^{-1} Q_3$. We will apply Lemma 3.2 for every j fixed. For $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, set $X_i = a^{ii} a_{ii,j}$, $\lambda = 1 + 2a_{11} a^{jj}$, $\mu = u_{nj} u_n^{-1} (1 + u_n^2)^{-1}$, $b_i = 1 + 2a_{ii} a^{jj}$ ($i \neq j$), $b_j = 1$ and $c_i = a_{ii} a^{jj} - \theta - (1 + 2\theta) a_{11} a^{jj}$.

By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$(1 + u_n^2)^{-1} Q_3 \leq 4u_n^{-2} (1 + u_n^2)^{-2} \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} \Gamma_j u_{nj}^2,$$

where

$$\Gamma_j = c_j^2 + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} \frac{c_i^2}{b_i} - \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} + 1 + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} \frac{1}{b_i} \right)^{-1} \left(c_j + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} \frac{c_i}{b_i} \right)^2.$$

Also denoting

$$\beta_i = \frac{1}{b_i} \quad (i \neq j),$$

we have

$$a_{ii} a^{jj} = \frac{1}{2\beta_i} - \frac{1}{2}, \quad c_i = \frac{1}{2\beta_i} - \delta, \quad \text{where } \delta = \frac{1}{2} + \theta + (1 + 2\theta) a_{11} a^{jj}.$$

Noticed that

$$c_j = \frac{3}{2} - \delta, \quad \frac{\delta}{\lambda} = \frac{1}{2} + \theta,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_j &= c_j^2 + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} \beta_i \left(\frac{1}{2\beta_i} - \delta \right)^2 - \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} + 1 + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} \beta_i \right)^{-1} \left[c_j + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} \beta_i \left(\frac{1}{2\beta_i} - \delta \right) \right]^2 \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} \frac{1}{\beta_i} - \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} + 1 + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} \beta_i \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{\delta}{\lambda} \right)^2 + \frac{9}{4} + \frac{\delta^2}{\lambda} \\
&= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} \frac{1}{\beta_i} - \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} + 1 + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} \beta_i \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{n+1}{2} + \theta \right)^2 + \frac{9}{4} + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \theta \right) \delta.
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously,

$$1 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} + 1 + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} \beta_i \leq n-1,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_j &\leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} \frac{1}{\beta_i} - \frac{1}{n-1} \left(\frac{n+1}{2} + \theta \right)^2 + \frac{9}{4} + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \theta \right) \delta \\
&= \frac{n-2}{n-1} \theta^2 - \frac{2}{n-1} \theta + \frac{n-3}{2(n-1)} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma_1 a^{jj} + 2\theta^2 a_{11} a^{jj} + 2\theta a_{11} a^{jj}.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$(4.24) \quad Q_3 \leq \frac{1}{u_n^2(1+u_n^2)} \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} \left[\frac{4n-8}{n-1} \theta^2 - \frac{8}{n-1} \theta + \frac{2n-6}{n-1} + (2\sigma_1 + 8\theta^2 a_{11} + 8\theta a_{11}) a^{jj} \right] u_{nj}^2.$$

If we let

$$\begin{aligned}
q_1(\theta) &= 2\theta - n + 3 + (-n+1)u_n^2, \\
q_2(\theta) &= -\frac{4}{n-1} \theta^2 + \left(2 - \frac{8}{n-1}\right) \theta + 2 - \frac{4}{n-1} - 2\theta u_n^2, \\
q_{3,j}(\theta) &= \frac{1}{u_n^2(1+u_n^2)} \left[-\frac{4}{n-1} \theta^2 + \left(4 - \frac{8}{n-1}\right) \theta + 5 - n - \frac{4}{n-1} + (-2\theta - n + 3 - 2\sigma_1 a^{jj}) u_n^2 \right],
\end{aligned}$$

then collecting (4.21)–(4.24), we finally obtain

$$(4.25) \quad \sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{\alpha\beta} \leq q_1(\theta) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} a_{jj}^2 + q_2(\theta) \sigma_1^2 + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} q_{3,j}(\theta) u_{nj}^2 \quad \text{mod } \nabla \varphi,$$

where we modify the terms of $\nabla \varphi$ with locally bounded coefficients. By a simple observation, one can see that a sufficient condition to validate

$$\sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} F^{\alpha\beta} \varphi_{\alpha\beta} \leq 0 \quad \text{mod } \nabla \varphi,$$

is

$$(4.26) \quad \begin{cases} q_1(\theta) + q_2(\theta) \leq 0, \\ q_1(\theta) + (n-1)q_2(\theta) \leq 0, \\ q_{3,j}(\theta) \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Solving $q_1(\theta) + q_2(\theta) \leq 0$, we have

$$\theta \geq \frac{1-n}{2}.$$

And $q_1(\theta) + (n-1)q_2(\theta) \leq 0$ implies

$$\theta = -\frac{1}{2} \quad \text{or} \quad \theta \geq \frac{n-3}{2},$$

also from $q_{3,j}(\theta) \leq 0$, we get

$$\theta \geq \frac{1-n}{2}.$$

Therefore by solving the inequalities in (4.26), we finally obtain $\theta = -\frac{1}{2}$ or $\theta \geq \frac{n-3}{2}$. So we complete our proof of Theorem 1.1. $\square$

In the 2-dimensional case, from the above proof we have the following observation.

Corollary 4.1. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a smooth bounded domain and $u \in C^4(\Omega) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ satisfies*

$$\operatorname{div} \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla u|^2}} \right) = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

Assume $|\nabla u| \neq 0$ in Ω . Let k be the curvature of the level curve, then the function $\left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1 + |\nabla u|^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} k$ is a harmonic function with respect to the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the graph of u .

Proof. Let $\psi = \left(\frac{|\nabla u|^2}{1 + |\nabla u|^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} k$. Similar as the calculation leading to (4.20), we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 2} F^{\alpha\beta} \psi_{\alpha\beta} = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

In other words, the function ψ is a harmonic function with respect to the Laplace-Beltrami operator on the graph of u (see [11]). $\square$

Remark 4.2. *Let u be a 2-dimensional harmonic function with no critical points in domain and k be the curvature of the level curve of u . In [21], Talenti proved $|\nabla u|^{-1}k$ is a harmonic function. Our Corollary 4.1 is a minimal graph version of Talenti's result. So from Corollary 4.1 above we can also get the upper bound estimates on the curvature of the convex level curve of 2-dimensional minimal graph with boundary data.*

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In this section we will examine the Poisson equation in detail by setting $F^{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\rho(t) = \theta \log t$. Obviously, we have $\rho' u_n^2 = \theta$, $\rho'' u_n^4 = -\theta$. Recalling the relation $u_{ii} = -u_n a_{ii}$, we have

$$u_{nn} = f + u_n \sigma_1, \quad \text{where } \sigma_1 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii}.$$

Similar to the last section, set

$$\varphi = \theta \log(|\nabla u|^2) + \log K(x).$$

For suitable choice of θ we will derive the following differential inequality

$$\Delta \varphi \leq 0 \quad \text{mod } \nabla \varphi \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

where we modify the terms involving $\nabla \varphi$ with locally bounded coefficients. We shall complete our calculation under the normal coordinates at the fixed point x_o .

Recalling the following formula we have obtained in (3.19)

$$(5.1) \quad \Delta \varphi = L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4,$$

we will treat the four terms on the right-hand side of (5.1) respectively.

For the term L_1 , we immediately have

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} L_1 = & -6u_n^{-3} f \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2 + 6u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} \nabla_i f + (2\theta - n + 1) u_n^{-1} \nabla_n f \\ & - u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \nabla_{ii} f. \end{aligned}$$

For the term L_2 , by (3.14) we have

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} L_2 = & - \sum_{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,k}^2 - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,n}^2 - 4u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} u_{jji} \\ & - 4u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} u_{iin} \\ = & - \sum_{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,k}^2 - 4u_n^{-2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} (-u_n a_{jj,i} + 2u_n^{-1} u_{nj} u_{ji} + u_n^{-1} u_{ni} u_{jj}) \\ & - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,n}^2 - 4u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} (-u_n a_{ii,n} + 2u_n^{-1} u_{ni}^2 + u_n^{-1} u_{nn} u_{ii}) \\ = & - \sum_{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,k}^2 - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,n}^2 + 4u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} a_{jj,i} \\ & + 4 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii,n} + 4u_n^{-2} \sigma_1 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2 + 4u_n^{-1} u_{nn} \sigma_1. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.4) \quad L_3 &= u_n^{-2} \left\{ 2\theta u_{nn}^2 + (4 + 2\theta) \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} u_{ni}^2 - 6\sigma_1 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2 - 2u_n u_{nn} \sigma_1 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + [2\theta - (n+1)] \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} u_{ni}^2 + [2\theta - (n+1)] u_n^2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii}^2 \right\}, \\
L_4 &= -2u_n^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} u_{ni} \varphi_i - 2u_n^{-1} u_{nn} \varphi_n.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (5.2)–(5.4), we have

$$u_n^2 \Delta \varphi = \bar{P}_0 + \bar{P}_1 + \bar{P}_2 + \bar{P}_3,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{P}_0 &= -6u_n^{-1} f \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2 + 6 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} \nabla_i f + (2\theta - n + 1) u_n \nabla_n f - u_n \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \nabla_{ii} f, \\
\bar{P}_1 &= -u_n^2 \sum_{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,k}^2 + 4u_n \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} a_{jj,i} - u_n^2 \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,n}^2 + 4u_n^2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii,n}, \\
\bar{P}_2 &= (2\theta - n - 1) u_n^2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_{ii}^2 - 2\sigma_1 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2 + (2\theta + 2) u_n^2 \sigma_1^2 + [4\theta - (n - 3)] \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} u_{ni}^2 \\
&\quad + 2\theta f^2 + (4\theta + 2) u_n f \sigma_1, \\
\bar{P}_3 &= -2u_n \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} u_{nj} \varphi_j - 2(u_n f + u_n^2 \sigma_1) \varphi_n.
\end{aligned}$$

To deal with the term $\bar{P}_1$, we may set $A = C = D = u_n^2, B = u_n$ in Lemma 3.1. Let us denote by $(\bar{Q}_0)$ the terms in $\bar{P}_0$; $(\bar{Q}_1)$ the terms involving $a_{ii,n} (2 \leq i \leq n-1)$; $(\bar{Q}_2)$ the terms involving $a_{ii,1} (2 \leq i \leq n-1)$; $(\bar{Q}_3)$ the terms involving $a_{ii,j} (2 \leq i, j \leq n-1)$; $(\bar{Q}_4)$ the terms involving $\nabla \varphi$ and $(\bar{Q}_5)$ all of the rest terms. More precisely, we have

$$(5.5) \quad u_n^2 \Delta \varphi = \bar{Q}_0 + \bar{Q}_1 + \bar{Q}_2 + \bar{Q}_3 + \bar{Q}_4 + \bar{Q}_5,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{Q}_0 &= -6u_n^{-1} f \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2 + 6 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} \nabla_i f + (2\theta - n + 1) u_n \nabla_n f - u_n \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \nabla_{ii} f, \\
\bar{Q}_1 &= - \left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} u_n a^{ii} a_{ii,n} \right)^2 + 4u_n \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} (a_{ii} - a_{11} - \theta \sigma_1 - \theta u_n^{-1} f) \cdot (u_n a^{ii} a_{ii,n}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} (u_n a^{ii} a_{ii,n})^2,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{Q}_2 &= - \left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} u_n a^{ii} a_{ii,1} \right)^2 + 4u_{n1} \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} (a_{ii} a^{11} - 1 - \theta) \cdot (u_n a^{ii} a_{ii,1}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{ii} a^{11}) \cdot (u_n a^{ii} a_{ii,1})^2, \\
\overline{Q}_3 &= \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} \left\{ - (1 + 2a_{11} a^{jj}) \cdot \left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} u_n a^{ii} a_{ii,j} \right)^2 - \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} 2a_{ii} a^{jj} \cdot (u_n a^{ii} a_{ii,j})^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} (u_n a^{ii} a_{ii,j})^2 + 4u_{nj} \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} [a_{ii} a^{jj} - \theta - (1 + 2\theta) a_{11} a^{jj}] \cdot (u_n a^{ii} a_{ii,j}) \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{Q}_4 &= 2u_n^2 \varphi_1 \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,1} + 4\theta u_n u_{n1} \varphi_1 + 2u_n^2 \sum_{2 \leq i, j \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{11} a^{jj}) a^{ii} a_{ii,j} \varphi_j \\
&\quad + 4\theta u_n \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{11} a^{jj}) u_{nj} \varphi_j + 4u_n a_{11} \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} a^{jj} u_{nj} \varphi_j - u_n^2 \varphi_1^2 - u_n^2 \varphi_n^2 \\
&\quad + 2 \left[u_n^2 \left(\sum_{2 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} a_{ii,n} + 2\theta u_n^{-1} u_{nn} \right) + 2u_n^2 a_{11} \right] \varphi_n - u_n^2 \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} (1 + 2a_{11} a^{jj}) \varphi_j^2 + \overline{P}_3, \\
\overline{Q}_5 &= - u_n^2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j, k \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j, j \neq k, k \neq i}} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,k}^2 - u_n^2 \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq n-1 \\ i \neq j}} a^{ii} a^{jj} a_{ij,n}^2 + (2\theta - n - 1) u_n^2 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} a_{jj}^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} (-4\theta^2 + 4\theta - n + 3 - 8\theta^2 a_{11} a^{jj} - 8\theta a_{11} a^{jj} - 2\sigma_1 a^{jj}) u_{nj}^2 - 8\theta u_n^2 a_{11} \sigma_1 \\
&\quad + (-4\theta^2 - 4\theta - n + 3 - 2\sigma_1 a^{11}) u_{n1}^2 + (-4\theta^2 + 2\theta + 2) u_n^2 \sigma_1^2 + (-4\theta^2 + 2\theta) f^2 \\
&\quad + (-8\theta^2 + 4\theta + 2) u_n f \sigma_1 - 8\theta u_n a_{11} f.
\end{aligned}$$

In the following, we shall maximize the terms $\overline{Q}_1, \overline{Q}_2$ and $\overline{Q}_3$ via Lemma 3.2 for different choice of parameters.

At first let us examine the term $\overline{Q}_1$. For $2 \leq i \leq n-1$, set $X_i = u_n a^{ii} a_{ii,n}$, $\lambda = 1$, $\mu = u_n$, $b_i = 1$ and $c_i = a_{ii} - a_{11} - \theta \sigma_1 - \theta u_n^{-1} f$. By Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.6) \quad \overline{Q}_1 &\leq \frac{4(n-2)}{n-1} \theta^2 u_n^2 \sigma_1^2 - \frac{8}{n-1} \theta u_n^2 \sigma_1^2 + 8\theta u_n^2 a_{11} \sigma_1 - \frac{4}{n-1} u_n^2 \sigma_1^2 + 4u_n^2 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} a_{jj}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{4(n-2)}{n-1} \theta^2 f^2 + \frac{8n-16}{n-1} \theta^2 u_n \sigma_1 f - \frac{8}{n-1} \theta u_n \sigma_1 f + 8\theta u_n a_{11} f.
\end{aligned}$$

For the term $\overline{Q}_2$, set $X_i = u_n a^{ii} a_{ii,1}$, $\lambda = 1$, $\mu = u_{n1}$, $b_i = 1 + 2a_{ii} a^{11}$ and $c_i = a_{ii} a^{11} - 1 - \theta$ where $2 \leq i \leq n-1$. Also by Lemma 3.2 and the same discussion as before,

we can get

$$(5.7) \quad \overline{Q}_2 \leq \left[\frac{4(n-2)}{n-1} (1+\theta)^2 + 2\sigma_1 a^{11} - 2 \right] u_{n1}^2.$$

In a similar way, for the term $\overline{Q}_3$, just copying the calculation as the minimal graph, we can derive that

$$(5.8) \quad \overline{Q}_3 \leq \sum_{2 \leq j \leq n-1} \left(\frac{4n-8}{n-1} \theta^2 - \frac{8}{n-1} \theta + \frac{2n-6}{n-1} + 2\sigma_1 a^{jj} + 8\theta^2 a_{11} a^{jj} + 8\theta a_{11} a^{jj} \right) u_{nj}^2.$$

Combining (5.5)–(5.8), we finally obtain

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} u_n^2 \Delta \varphi \leq & (2\theta - n + 3) u_n^2 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} a_{jj}^2 + \left[-\frac{4}{n-1} \theta^2 - \frac{8}{n-1} \theta + 2\theta - \frac{4}{n-1} + 2 \right] u_n^2 \sigma_1^2 \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} \left(-\frac{4}{n-1} \theta^2 - \frac{8}{n-1} \theta + 4\theta - \frac{4}{n-1} - n + 5 \right) u_{nj}^2 \\ & + \left(-\frac{4}{n-1} \theta^2 + 2\theta \right) f^2 + \left(-\frac{8}{n-1} \theta^2 - \frac{8}{n-1} \theta + 4\theta + 2 \right) u_n f \sigma_1 \\ & - 6u_n^{-1} f \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2 + 6 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} \nabla_i f + (2\theta - n + 1) u_n \nabla_n f \\ & - u_n \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} \nabla_{ii} f \quad \text{mod } \nabla \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

where we have modified the terms involving $\nabla \varphi$ with locally bounded coefficients.

To estimate the term $\overline{Q}_0$, we shall make the following choice.

Case (i):

For $f = f(u)$, since at x_o ,

$$\nabla_i f = 0, \quad \nabla_n f = u_n f_u \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{ii} f = -u_n f_u a_{ii} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

we have

$$(5.10) \quad \overline{Q}_0 = 2\theta u_n^2 f_u - 6u_n^{-1} f \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2.$$

If $f_u \geq 0$, then we choose $\theta = -1$. By (5.9)–(5.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} u_n^2 \Delta \varphi \leq & -(n-1) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} a_{jj}^2 - (n-1) \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} u_{nj}^2 - \left(\frac{4}{n-1} + 2 \right) f^2 \\ & - 2u_n f \sigma_1 - 2u_n^2 f_u - 6u_n^{-1} f \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2 \\ \leq & 0 \quad \text{mod } \nabla \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

If $f_u \leq 0$, we can set $\theta = \frac{n-1}{2}$ to derive

$$\begin{aligned} u_n^2 \Delta \varphi &\leq 2u_n^2 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} a_{jj}^2 - \left(\frac{4}{n-1} + 2 \right) u_n^2 \sigma_1^2 - \frac{4}{n-1} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} u_{nj}^2 \\ &\quad - 2u_n f \sigma_1 + (n-1) u_n^2 f_u - \frac{6}{u_n} f \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2 \\ &\leq 0 \quad \text{mod } \nabla \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

Case (ii):

For $f = f(x)$, at the considered point x_o , we have

$$\nabla_i f = f_{x_i}, \quad \nabla_n f = f_{x_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla_{ii} f = f_{x_i x_i} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n-1,$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{Q}_0 &= -6u_n^{-1} f \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni}^2 + 6 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} u_{ni} f_{x_i} + (2\theta - n + 1) u_n f_{x_n} \\ &\quad - u_n \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a^{ii} f_{x_i x_i}. \end{aligned}$$

By setting $\theta = \frac{n-1}{2}$ and applying the condition that

$$t^3 f(x) \text{ is convex with respect to } (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, +\infty),$$

one can easily check

$$6u_n^{-1} f u_{ni}^2 - 6u_{ni} f_{x_i} + u_n f_{x_i x_i} \geq 0$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.

Therefore, we have

$$\bar{Q}_0 \leq 0.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} u_n^2 \Delta \varphi &\leq 2u_n^2 \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} a_{jj}^2 - \left(\frac{4}{n-1} + 2 \right) u_n^2 \sigma_1^2 - \frac{4}{n-1} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n-1} u_{nj}^2 - 2u_n f \sigma_1 \\ &\leq 0 \quad \text{mod } \nabla \varphi. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the Theorem 1.3 is now completed. $\square$

6. PROOF OF THE COROLLARY

Let Ω_0 and Ω_1 be bounded smooth convex domains in $\mathbb{R}^n, n \geq 2$, $o \in \bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega_0$. Let u satisfy

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta u = f(u) & \text{in } \Omega = \Omega_0 \setminus \bar{\Omega}_1, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_0, \\ u = 1 & \text{on } \partial\Omega_1, \end{cases}$$

where $f \in C^2([0, 1])$ is a nonnegative and non-decreasing function with $f(0) = 0$.

From [6] we know that $|\nabla u| \neq 0$ in Ω if u is a solution of (6.1). In the following, we shall prove a lemma on the monotonicity of the norm of the gradient along the gradient direction, which also appeared in [17]. Using this observation, we prove the Corollary 1.4.

Lemma 6.1. *Let u satisfy (6.1). Then $|\nabla u|$ strictly increases in the direction ∇u . It follows that $|\nabla u|$ attains its minimum on $\partial\Omega_0$, and attains its maximum on $\partial\Omega_1$.*

Proof. By the Caffarelli-Spruck's [6], the level sets of u are strictly convex with respect to the normal direction ∇u . At any fixed point $x_o \in \Omega$, we may let $u_i = 0 (1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ and $u_n = |\nabla u| > 0$ by rotation. Let H be the mean curvature of the level sets with respect to the normal direction ∇u . Then (6.1) implies

$$u_{nn} = - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} u_{ii} + f = u_n H + f,$$

hence

$$\sum_{1 \leq \alpha \leq n} (|\nabla u|^2)_\alpha u_\alpha = 2u_n^2 u_{nn} = 2u_n^2 (u_n H + f) > 0,$$

where the last inequality is due to the strict convexity of the level sets. $\square$

Now we give the proof of Corollary 1.4.

Proof. If u is the smooth solution of (6.1), then from the Caffarelli-Spruck's [6] we know the level sets of u are strictly convex with respect to normal direction ∇u . From the Theorem 1.3, the function

$$|\nabla u|^{-2} K$$

attains its minimum on the boundary. Since $|\nabla u|$ attains its minimum on $\partial\Omega_0$, and attains its maximum on $\partial\Omega_1$, we have the following estimate

$$\min_{\Omega} K \geq \left(\frac{\min_{\partial\Omega_0} |\nabla u|}{\max_{\partial\Omega_1} |\nabla u|} \right)^2 \min_{\partial\Omega} K.$$

Then we complete the proof of the Corollary 1.4. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahlfors L.V., *Conformal invariants: topics in geometric function theory*, McGraw-Hill Series in Higher Mathematics. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York-Dsseldorf-Johannesburg, 1973(pp 5–6).
- [2] Bian B.J., Guan P., Ma X.N. and Xu L., *A microscopic convexity principle for the level sets of solution for nonlinear elliptic partial differential equations*, to appear in Indiana Univ. Math. J..
- [3] Bianchini C., Longinetti M. and Salani P., *Quasiconcave solutions to elliptic problems in convex rings*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **58**, (2009), 1565-1590.
- [4] Caffarelli L. and Friedman A., *Convexity of solutions of some semilinear elliptic equations*, Duke Math. J., **52**, (1985), 431-455.

- [5] Caffarelli L., Nirenberg L. and Spruck J., *Nonlinear second order elliptic equations IV: Star-shaped compact Weingarten hypersurfaces*, Current topics in partial differential equations, Y.Ohya, K.Kasahara and N.Shimakura (eds), Kinokunize, Tokyo, 1985, 1-26.
- [6] Caffarelli L. and Spruck J., *Convexity properties of solutions to some classical variational problems*, Comm. Partial Differ. Equations, **7**, (1982), 1337–1379.
- [7] Chang A., Ma X.N. and Yang P., *Principal curvature estimates for the convex level sets of semilinear elliptic equations*, preprint.
- [8] Dolbeault J. and Monneau R., *Convexity estimates for nonlinear elliptic equations and application to free boundary problems*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, **19**, (2002), no. 6, 903–926.
- [9] Gabriel R., *A result concerning convex level surfaces of 3-dimensional harmonic functions*, J. London Math.Soc. **32**, (1957), 286–294.
- [10] Gergen J.J., *Note on the Green function of a star-shaped three dimensional region*, Amer. J. Math., **53** (1931), 746–752.
- [11] Gilbarg D. and Trudinger N. S. Elliptic partial differential equations of second order. Reprint of the 1998 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [12] Kawohl B., *Rearrangements and convexity of level sets in PDE*, Lectures Notes in Math., **1150**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [13] Korevaar N., *Convexity of level sets for solutions to elliptic ring problems*, Comm. Partial Differ. Equations, **15**(4), 1990, 541–556.
- [14] Lewis J. L., *Capacitary functions in convex rings*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **66**, (1977), 201–224.
- [15] Longinetti M., *Convexity of the level lines of harmonic functions*, (Italian) Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A **6**, (1983), 71–75.
- [16] Longinetti M., *On minimal surfaces bounded by two convex curves in parallel planes*, J. Diff. Equations, **67**, (1987), 344–358.
- [17] Longinetti M. and Salani P., *On the Hessian matrix and Minkowski addition of quasiconvex functions*, J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **88** (2007), no. 3, 276–292.
- [18] Ma X.N., Ou Q.Z. and Zhang W., *Gaussian Curvature estimates for the convex level sets of p -harmonic functions*, to appear in Comm. Pure Appl. Math., <http://www3.interscience.wiley.com/journal/29240/earlyview>, DOI: 10.1002/cpa.20318.
- [19] Rosay J. and Rudin W., *A maximum principle for sums of subharmonic functions, and the convexity of level sets*, Michigan Math. J., **36**, (1989), 95–111.
- [20] Shiffman M., *On surfaces of stationary area bounded by two circles, or convex curves, in parallel planes*, Annals of Math., **63**, (1956), 77–90.
- [21] Talenti G., *On functions, whose lines of steepest descent bend proportionally to level lines*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. **10**, (1983), no. 4, 587–605.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, QUFU NORMAL UNIVERSITY, QUFU, 273165, SHANDONG PROVINCE, CHINA

E-mail address: peihewang@hotmail.com

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF CHINA, HEFEI, 230026, ANHUI PROVINCE, CHINA.

E-mail address: zhwmath@mail.ustc.edu.cn